

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,873	03/08/2004	Nathan Moyal	5087-080	2674
20575	7590 10/13/2005	EXAMINER		
	OHNSON & MCCOL	NGUYEN, MINH T		
PORTLAND	RRISON STREET, SUIT . OR 97204	E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2816	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/796,873	MOYAL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Minh Nguyen	2816	

	Minh Nguyen	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 September 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			004450				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:				
See Continuation Sheet.			ioo booddoo.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N						
13. Other:		1000	10/11/05				
		Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner					
		Art Unit: 2816					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The rejections of record are still believed to be proper, and therefore, are maintained.

The argument that the cited Martin reference does not show an VFO having a variable gain profile and a control logic filed on 9/27/05 is not found persuasive. As clearly set forth in the previous Office action, the recited control logic reads on the VCO control circuit 114 and the recited VFO having a variable gain profile reads on the VCO 502.

The variable gain profile of the Martin's VCO is clearly shown in figure 6. As shown in figure 6, the gain profile of the Martin's VCO can

be varied by the control signals SEL1, ... SELN.

Specifically, these control signals vary the capacitance of the VCO circuit and therefore, the gain is varied. Further evidence can be seen by comparing the Martin's VCO with the present invention VCO shown in figure 4. In the present invention VCO, the gain profile is varied by controlling the capacitance of the VCO. The teaching in the present invention page 10, lines 1-10 provides further evidence that the Martin's VCO presumes the variable gain profile characteristics .